



Kinetic parameters of in situ degradability of crop residues in alpacas (*Vicugna pacos*)

Parámetros cinéticos de la degradabilidad in situ de residuos de cosecha en alpacas (*Vicugna pacos*)

Contreras-Paco José Luis^{1*} , Condori-Yauri Cesar Javier² , Poma-Yaranga Javier² ,
Cordero-Fernández Alfonso Gregorio³ , De la Cruz-Rojas Yhan Carlos³

Data of the Article

¹National University of Huancavelica. Graduate School. Faculty of Agricultural Sciences. Huancavelica, Peru. University City "Común Era". Av. Evitamiento Este S / N-Acobamba. Tel: +51 (067) 451551.
²National University of Huancavelica. Professional School of Zootechnics. Laboratory of Animal Nutrition and Food Evaluation. Huancavelica, Peru. University City of Paturpampa. Av. Agriculture N° 319-321. Sector-Paturpampa. Huancavelica. Tel: +51 (067) 451551.
³National University of Huancavelica. Graduate School. Faculty of Engineering Sciences. Huancavelica, Peru. University City of Paturpampa. Av. Agriculture N° 319-321. Sector-Paturpampa. Huancavelica. Tel: +51 (067) 451551.

*Contact address: National University of Huancavelica. Graduate School. Faculty of Agricultural Sciences. Huancavelica, Peru. University City "Común Era". Av. Evitamiento Este S / N-Acobamba. Tel: +51 (067) 451551.

José Luis Contreras-Paco

E-mail address: jose.contreras@unh.edu.pe

Keywords:

Harvest residue, barley, in situ degradation, alpaca, nutritive value.

J. Selva Andina Anim. Sci.
2021; 8(1):30-43.

ID of article: 080/JSAAS/2020

Record from the article

Received August 2020.
Returned November 2020.
Accepted January 2021.
Available online, April 2021.

*Edited by: Selva Andina
Research Society*

Abstract

The aim of this study was to determine the kinetic parameters of the *in-situ* degradability of dry matter (DM), crude protein (CP) and neutral detergent fibre (NDF) of crop residues (CR) of barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L), oats (*Avena sativa* L), peas (*Pisum sativum* L), quinoa (*Chenopodium quinoa* W) and beans (*Vicia faba* L) from the Huancavelica area, Peru. Two fistulated alpacas in the first stomach compartment were used. For the statistical analysis, the blocks were represented by the alpacas, the treatments by the CR and the subplots by the incubation times. Grounded CRs (5 g) were placed in nylon bags and incubated for 0, 12, 24, 48 and 72h, and the analysis of DM, CP and NDF was conducted. The parameters of degradability were estimated by non-linear regression $D(t) = a + b(1 - \exp(-c \cdot t))$, the potential degradability was determined by $D(p) = a + b$, and effective degradability by $D(e) = a + (b \cdot c) / (c + k)$. Oats and quinoa had the highest DM percentages. CP content ranged from 2% for barley to 14.1% for beans. The NDF content was 34.94 % for beans, reaching 90.19 % for barley. There was an interaction effect of CR and incubation time on the degradability of the DM, CP and NDF. The degradability of these nutrients was influenced by the crop residues. The highest $D(p)$ of the DM was for the pea (82.96%), followed by oats (79.26 %) and beans (75.47 %). The $D(e)$ of the NDF was higher for beans of 37.96, 33.33 and 31.13 % in the passage rates of 3, 5 and 7 %/h, respectively. The high non-degradable fraction of the NDF (40.20 %) of the quinoa is highlighted and, therefore, the low $D(p)$ of the DM (49.13%). The content of NDF and its kinetic characteristics exert a marked effect on the digestion of the CR under study.

2021. Journal of the Selva Andina Animal Science®. Bolivia. All rights reserved.

Resumen

El presente trabajo tuvo como objetivo determinar los parámetros cinéticos de la degradabilidad in situ de la materia seca (MS), proteína cruda (PC) y fibra detergente neutro (FDN) de los residuos de cosecha (RC) de cebada (*Hordeum vulgare* L), avena (*Avena sativa* L), arveja (*Pisum sativum* L), quinua (*Chenopodium quinoa* W) y habas (*Vicia faba* L) de la zona de Huancavelica, Perú. Se utilizaron dos alpacas con fístula en el primer compartimento estomacal. Para el análisis estadístico, los bloques fueron representados por las alpacas, los tratamientos por los RC y las subparcelas por los tiempos de incubación. Los RC molidos (5 g) fueron colocados en bolsitas de nylon e incubados por 0, 12, 24, 48 y 72 h, y se analizó la MS, PC y FDN. Los parámetros de degradabilidad fueron estimados por regresión no lineal $D(t)=a+b(1-\exp(-c \cdot t))$, la degradabilidad potencial fue determinada mediante $D(p)=a+b$, y degradabilidad efectiva mediante $D(e)=a+(b \cdot c) / (c+k)$. La avena y la quinua presentaron los mayores porcentajes de MS. El contenido de PC varió de 2 % para la cebada a 14.1 % para las habas. El contenido de FDN fue de 34.94 % para las habas, llegando a 90.19 % para la cebada. Hubo efecto de la interacción de RC y tiempo en la degradabilidad de la MS, PC y FDN. Las degradabilidades de estos nutrientes fueron influenciadas por los residuos de cosecha. La mayor $D(p)$ de la MS fue para la arveja (82.96 %), seguidos por la avena (79.26 %) y habas (75.47 %). La $D(e)$ de la FDN fue mayor para habas de 37.96, 33.33 y 31.13 % en las tasas de pasaje de 3,



Palabras clave:

Residuo de cosecha,
cebada,
degradación in situ,
alpaca,
valor nutritivo.

5 y 7 %/h, respectivamente. Se destaca la elevada fracción no degradable de la FDN (40.20 %) de la quinua y, por tanto, la baja D(p) de la MS (49.13 %). El contenido de FDN y sus características cinéticas ejercen acentuado efecto sobre la digestión de los RC en estudio.

2021. *Journal of the Selva Andina Animal Science*®. Bolivia. Todos los derechos reservados.

Introduction

In extensive livestock production systems in the highlands of Peru, animals feed on low-quality, unimproved pastures, which translates into low animal productivity. However, some studies have shown that crop residues and agribusiness by-products can be used to supplement grazing animals and that the nutritional value of the residues can be improved through proven treatment procedures^{1,2}.

The nutritional value (NV) of a food is essentially determined by its energy and protein value, the former is closely related to the chemical composition and digestibility of organic matter³. Mathematically, it can be expressed: $NV = \text{dry matter intake (DM)} \times \% \text{ nutrient} \times \% \text{ nutrient digestibility}$ ⁴. Therefore, the NV of foods can allow the adaptation of diets that optimize product performance, which reduce production costs, as well as the reduction of energy losses, nitrogen compounds (N) associated with digestion, and metabolism of the nutrients⁵. On the other hand, the NV of coarse foods is affected by the forage species, plant age, time of year, soil fertility, mode of use⁵⁻⁷, finding a great variability in its chemical-bromatological composition, in the degradation rate of its components.

The reduction of the NV with the development of the plant is a function of the decrease in the protein and phosphorus contents or by the increase of the stem/leaf ratio⁵, giving rise to an elevation of the

components of the cell wall, nutritionally called fiber neutral detergent (NDF). From a nutritional point of view, carbohydrates can be classified into non-fibrous carbohydrates (NFC) or cellular content plus pectin, fibrous carbohydrates (FC or NDF). The former present rapid, complete, and constant nutritional availability among foods (98-100 %)⁸, while the FC (cellulose and hemicellulose), which constitute the plant cell wall, generally present slow and incomplete digestion, occupy space in the gastrointestinal tract^{9,10}. This fraction is responsible for the variation in the digestion and consumption of food, especially of tropical forage species.

For the adequacy of diets for ruminants, information is required on the proportions of feed fractions and digestion rates¹¹ in order to synchronize the availability of energy and nitrogen in the rumen, maximize microbial efficiency, feed digestion, and the reduction of losses resulting from ruminal fermentation^{6,12}. In vivo, in vitro, or in situ methods are used for food evaluation. This last technique has been used to estimate food degradation, due to its easy execution, speed, precision, and lower cost compared to in vivo techniques^{6,13,14}.

Understanding the chemical and nutritional characteristics of crop residues would help design optimal utilization strategies on farms and at the national

level. In Peru, the information available on the chemical composition and nutritional value of crop residues is scarce. Therefore, the objective of this study was to determine the kinetic parameters of the degradability of dry matter, crude protein, and neutral detergent fiber of the harvest residues of oats, barley, peas, quinoa, and beans in the first stomach compartment of the plant. alpaca.

Materials and methods

The experiment was developed in the Laboratory of Animal Nutrition and Food Evaluation of the National University of Huancavelica (NUH), located in the district, province, and region of Huancavelica, Peru, at 3680 masl¹⁵.

For the in situ degradability study, two 2-year-old male Huacaya alpacas (*Vicugna pacos*) were used, dewormed, fistulated in the first stomach compartment, with an average body weight of 45 kg. The alpacas received 300 g/day of chopped green forage oats and 150 g of harvest residue mixture (HR) for 15 days. The following days they were fed with a mixture of HR and forage oats (hay) (60:40), at 8 and 16 h. The animals remained confined throughout the experimental period, in individual pens, constructed of metal with polyethylene shades and wooden floors. HR were collected from barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L), oats (*Avena sativa* L), peas (*Pisum sativum* L), quinoa (*Chenopodium quinoa* Willd), and broad beans (*Vicia faba* L) from the provinces of Acobamba and Tayacaja, Huancavelica, in June 2018. The waste consisted of the material that was left after the seeds or pods had been removed by the owners. The residues were cut and chopped into fractions between 2

and 5 cm, using a MAQUIAGRO brand forage chopper to later be taken to the laboratory for its chemical-bromatological analysis, and for the study of in situ degradability according to the methodology of Ørskov & McDonald¹⁶.

For the chemical-bromatological analysis, six subsamples of each treatment (10 % of the HR) were collected, they were mixed, obtaining a sample per treatment of 100 g. for degradability tests. The material for laboratory analysis and incubation was ground in a Retsch mill, leaving 2 mm diameter particles.

The dry matter (DM)¹⁷, crude protein (CP), and NDF¹⁸ determinations were made according to Silva & Queiroz¹⁹. Proportional samples of each repetition and by individual treatment were mixed to obtain a composite sample of each residue. These samples were destined for incubation in the first compartment of the stomach of each alpaca.

For incubation, 5 x 10 cm nylon bags with a pore opening of 50 µm²⁰⁻²² were used, which were placed in an oven at 60 °C for 12 h, and then weighed. 5 g of air-dry sample, closed with rubber bands, were placed in each bag. Duplicate samples were used for each incubation time per animal. The sacks were placed in a bucket with water for 12 min prior to placing the sacks in the first compartment of the alpacas' stomach.

Incubation periods of 12, 24, 48, and 72 h were used. The time 0 sacks were placed in the bucket with water and subsequently washed with running water, but they were not incubated. All the sacks were placed together in the first compartment of the stomach of the fistulated alpacas and were removed according to the established incubation times. Once removed, they were thoroughly washed in running water to remove material particles adhering to the external surface. The material was placed in a 222-liter capacity

MMM-Venticell stove with forced ventilation at 60 °C for 24 h and was subsequently weighed with the help of a precision balance 0.01 g capacity of 1620 g Ohaus brand.

It was used subdivided plots conducted in a completely randomized block design, the two alpacas represented the blocks, the HR the treatments (plots), and the six incubation times of the residues the sub-plots. The analysis was performed according to the statistical model: $Y_{ijk} = \mu + B_i + R_j + \epsilon_{ij} + T_k + R \times T_{jk} + \epsilon_{ijk}$, where Y_{ijk} = the observation relative to HR (j) in combination with time (k) in the Block I); μ = the general mean, B_i = effect of block i (animal), R_j = effect of level j of factor R (HR), ϵ_{ij} = experimental error of the plot, T_k = effect of level k of factor T (time), $R \times T_{jk}$ = effect of the interaction of factor R with factor T, ϵ_{ijk} = experimental error relative to the sub-plot. The in situ degradability data of the DM, CP, and NDF of the HR were determined by the difference between the weight of the incubated samples and the weight of the DM, CP, and NDF residues after the incubation time, and expressed in percentages 23.

The information regarding the in-sack degradability of the HR of agricultural crops evaluated was subjected to analysis of variance by the GLM procedure of the statistical program SAS v. 9.2 for Windows®24, considering the animal, the harvest residues, and the incubation time.

The weight loss observed in DM, CP, and NDF at each incubation time was considered as potential degradability. With the Solver application of Microsoft Excel, the corresponding degradation rates were calculated, using the mathematical model^{14,25,26}: $D(t) = a + b(1 - \exp(-c * t))$, where $D(t)$ = amount of food that disappears from the sacks at incubation time t, a = rapidly degradable fraction (%), b = slowly degradable fraction (%), c = digestion of fraction b at the constant fractional rate (h⁻¹), and t = time (hours)²⁷⁻³⁰.

The effective degradability (De) of the DM, PC, and NDF of the CR in the first compartment of the stomach of the alpaca was calculated using the equation by Carvalho *et al.*¹³: $De = a + (b * c / c + k)$, where: De = effective degradability, k = is the estimated rate of passage of the particles, and a, b and c are the same components of the previous equation¹³.

Results

Regarding the chemical-bromatological composition of the CR of agricultural crops (table 1), it was oats and quinoa that presented the highest DM percentages.

Regarding CP content, broad bean and barley residues were those that presented the highest and lowest crude protein content (14.10 vs. 2.15%, table 1), notable differences between a legume and a grass.

Table 1 Dry matter (DM), crude protein (CP) and neutral detergent fiber (NDF) levels obtained in barley, oats, peas, quinoa and broad bean harvest residues

| Harvest residue | DM (%) | CP (% MS) | NDF (% DM) |
|-----------------|--------|-----------|------------|
| Peas | 93.33 | 10.41 | 68.05 |
| Oats | 96.74 | 7.87 | 62.92 |
| Barley | 88.80 | 2.15 | 90.19 |
| Beans | 93.04 | 14.10 | 34.94 |
| Quinoa | 96.51 | 3.92 | 89.92 |

Table 2 Summary of the analysis of variance of the degradability of dry matter (DM), crude protein (CP) and neutral detergent fiber (NDF) of the harvest residues of five agricultural crops in the first compartment of the stomach of the alpaca, in animal function and incubation time

| Variation source | LG | Mean squares | | |
|---------------------|----|--------------|------|------|
| | | DM | CP | NFD |
| Animal | 1 | ns | ns | ns |
| RC (R) | 4 | *** | *** | *** |
| Error (a) | 4 | | | |
| Plot | 9 | | | |
| Time (T) | 4 | *** | *** | *** |
| R x T | 16 | *** | *** | *** |
| (Treatments) | 25 | | | |
| Time/pea residue | 4 | *** | *** | *** |
| Time/oat residue | 4 | *** | *** | *** |
| Time/barley residue | 4 | *** | *** | *** |
| Time/bean residue | 4 | *** | *** | *** |
| Time/quinoa residue | 4 | *** | *** | *** |
| Residue/time 0 | 4 | *** | *** | *** |
| Residue/time 12 | 4 | *** | *** | *** |
| Residue/time 24 | 4 | *** | *** | *** |
| Residue/time 48 | 4 | *** | *** | *** |
| Residue/time 72 | 4 | *** | *** | *** |
| Error (b) | 20 | | | |
| Error total | 49 | | | |
| VC (%) | | 4.34 | 2.56 | 6.62 |

*** p <0.001; ns: not significant.

The decrease in DM ranged from 4.96 to 44.56% and from 25.50 to 79.28% for barley and broad bean, respectively, between the incubation period of 0 and 72 h. DM degradability at 72 h of incubation was 43.71, 64.39, and 68.57% for quinoa, oats, and peas, respectively (table 3).

The estimates of the coefficients a, b, and c of the equations adjusted for the potential degradabilities, according to the first-order asymptotic model of the MS, PC, and FDN are presented in table 4.

However, these residues showed higher coefficients (b) (part insoluble, but potentially degradable) in relation to the residues of broad beans and quinoa, probably due to their higher fiber content. In the case of the degradation rates of the potentially degradable

fraction (c), the residues showed similar behaviors (1.79, 1.91, 1.55, and 2.28% / h), except for the bean residue which was higher (7.21% / h).

The degradability of PC (table 3) ranged from 63.94 to 91.41% for barley and oats, respectively, at 72 h of incubation.

In the soluble fraction (a) of the NDF, differences were observed between the CRs, with beans the highest fraction (24.92%) and oats the lowest fraction (3.67%) (table 4). The pea and quinoa residues presented similar coefficients (a) (16.29 vs. 13.73%). The highest potential degradability values of DM were observed in pea residues (82.96%), while the lowest potential degradability was registered for quinoa residues (49.13%, table 5), with a non-degradable fraction (i) of 50.87% DM (table 4).

Table 3 Average percentages of the disappearance of dry matter (DM), crude protein (CP) and neutral detergent fiber (NDF) of the harvest residues of agricultural crops of barley, oats, peas, quinoa and beans, depending on at incubation time

| Harvest residues | Incubation time (h) | | | | |
|---|---------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| | 0 | 12 | 24 | 48 | 72 |
| Dry matter disappearance (DM) | | | | | |
| Peas | 25.64 | 31.06 | 52.26 | 53.44 | 68.57 |
| Oats | 14.33 | 28.92 | 40.36 | 50.60 | 64.39 |
| Barley | 4.96 | 21.73 | 23.56 | 30.13 | 44.56 |
| Beans | 25.50 | 57.53 | 64.39 | 70.05 | 79.28 |
| Quinoa | 18.72 | 22.44 | 34.73 | 36.81 | 43.71 |
| Crude protein disappearance (CP) | | | | | |
| Peas | 47.10 | 59.91 | 76.48 | 80.38 | 90.80 |
| Oats | 33.65 | 56.42 | 71.3 | 83.24 | 91.41 |
| Barley | 11.53 | 30.54 | 38.14 | 49.49 | 63.94 |
| Beans | 33.21 | 65.65 | 75.00 | 81.59 | 89.64 |
| Quinoa | 36.01 | 46.66 | 63.84 | 70.32 | 78.27 |
| Disappearance of neutral detergent fiber (NDF) | | | | | |
| Peas | 15.65 | 23.99 | 35.20 | 36.74 | 56.86 |
| Oats | 8.55 | 10.34 | 11.66 | 25.74 | 45.91 |
| Barley | 4.59 | 20.76 | 20.97 | 27.25 | 41.85 |
| Beans | 24.61 | 29.85 | 37.76 | 41.98 | 53.39 |
| Quinoa | 14.77 | 16.24 | 28.82 | 32.35 | 40.53 |

Table 4 Degradability of dry matter (DM), crude protein (CP) and neutral detergent fiber (NDF) of crop residues incubated in the first compartment of the alpaca's stomach, as a function of incubation time and the respective coefficients of determination (R²)

| Harvest residues | Parameter | | | | R ² |
|--|-----------|-------|------|-------|----------------|
| | A | B | c | i | |
| Dry matter degradability (DM) | | | | | |
| Peas | 24.77 | 58.19 | 1.79 | 17.04 | 0.92 |
| Oats | 15.11 | 64.15 | 1.91 | 20.74 | 0.99 |
| Barley | 7.79 | 51.21 | 1.55 | 41.00 | 0.93 |
| Beans | 26.17 | 49.31 | 7.21 | 24.53 | 0.97 |
| Quinoa | 17.99 | 31.14 | 2.28 | 50.87 | 0.94 |
| Crude protein degradability (CP) | | | | | |
| Peas | 46.79 | 46.63 | 3.33 | 6.58 | 0.96 |
| Oats | 33.81 | 60.32 | 3.90 | 5.87 | 0.99 |
| Barley | 13.26 | 62.41 | 2.11 | 24.33 | 0.98 |
| Beans | 33.76 | 53.06 | 6.85 | 13.18 | 0.98 |
| Quinoa | 35.19 | 47.86 | 3.07 | 16.95 | 0.98 |
| Neutral detergent fiber degradability (NDF) | | | | | |
| Peas | 16.29 | 83.71 | 0.81 | 0.00 | 0.92 |
| Oats | 3.67 | 96.32 | 0.65 | 0.00 | 0.89 |
| Barley | 7.87 | 61.27 | 1.02 | 30.86 | 0.90 |
| Beans | 24.92 | 75.07 | 0.63 | 0.00 | 0.97 |
| Quinoa | 13.73 | 46.07 | 1.18 | 40.20 | 0.94 |

A: water soluble fraction (%), B: potentially degradable water insoluble fraction (%), c: Kd = degradation fraction rate (h⁻¹) (% / hour), R²: coefficient of determination; i: non-degradable fraction (%)

Degradation potentials of the DM of the pea and broad bean residues of 82.96 and 75.47 % were observed, the disappearance of the DM at 12 h of 36.00

vs 54.72 %, and maximum disappearance of degradation at 72 h of 66.88 and 75.20 %, respectively. - mind. These differences in the degradation of DM in

the first behavior of the alpaca's stomach may be an important factor influencing animal consumption.

Table 5 shows the values of the effective degradability of DM, CP, and NDF of agricultural crop residues. The effective degradability's of DM at the passage rates of 3, 5, and 7 %/h were higher for the broad bean residues in relation to the other residues.

The potential degradability values of CP were higher than 80 %, except for barley (75.67 %) (table 5).

These figures indicate a good potential for microbial nitrogen production, despite the low CP contents, especially from barley and quinoa residues (table 3).

Except for barley, more than 60 % of CP degradability occurred after 12 h of incubation. At 72 h of incubation, pea, oat, broad bean, and quinoa residues showed CP degradability of 89.20, 90.50, 86.44, and 77.79 %, respectively. In this incubation time in barley, 62.04 % degradability of PC was observed.

Table 5 Potential and effective or actual degradability of dry matter (DM), crude protein (CP) and neutral detergent fiber (NDF) of the harvest residues of five agricultural products

| Harvest residues | Potential degradability ¹ | Effective degradability | | |
|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------|-------|
| | | Passage rate (%/h) ^a | | |
| | | 3 | 5 | 7 |
| Dry material (%) | | | | |
| Peas | 82.96 | 46.49 | 40.04 | 36.60 |
| Oats | 79.26 | 40.04 | 32.83 | 28.85 |
| Barley | 59.00 | 25.26 | 19.92 | 17.09 |
| Beans | 75.47 | 60.99 | 55.29 | 51.19 |
| Quinoa | 49.13 | 31.46 | 27.75 | 25.66 |
| Crude protein (%) | | | | |
| Peas | 93.42 | 71.35 | 65.45 | 61.84 |
| Oats | 94.13 | 67.92 | 60.26 | 55.40 |
| Barley | 75.67 | 39.05 | 31.80 | 27.73 |
| Beans | 86.82 | 70.66 | 64.43 | 60.00 |
| Quinoa | 83.05 | 50.39 | 53.39 | 49.77 |
| Neutral detergent fiber (%) | | | | |
| Peas | 100 | 34.17 | 28.02 | 25.02 |
| Oats | 100 | 20.95 | 14.85 | 11.93 |
| Barley | 69.14 | 23.44 | 18.27 | 15.68 |
| Beans | 100 | 37.96 | 33.33 | 31.13 |
| Quinoa | 59.80 | 26.80 | 22.57 | 26.41 |

^a Passage rates (K) of 3, 5 and 7 (% / hour) are assumed 31.1 Rapidly degradable fraction or fraction soluble in water (a, %) + slowly degradable fraction, or potentially degradable water insoluble fraction (b, %)

The potential degradability values of PC were higher than 80%, except for barley (75.67 %) (table 5). These figures indicate a good potential for microbial nitrogen production, despite the low CP contents, especially from barley and quinoa residues (table 3). Except for barley, more than 60% of CP degradability occurred after 12 h of incubation. At 72 h of incubation, pea, oat, broad bean, and quinoa residues showed CP degradability of 89.20, 90.50, 86.44, and

77.79 %, respectively. In this incubation time in barley, 62.04 % degradability of CP was observed.

The effective degradability's of CP in the passage rates of 3, 5, and 7 % / h were higher for pea residues (71.35, 65.45, and 61.84 %/h, respectively), in relation to the other residues under study (table 5). Observing values of effective degradability of CP, it can be inferred that the residues of oats, barley, broad beans, and quinoa presented low values of (a) and (b)

high and (c) low, with the exception of the residue of broad beans (c : 6.85).

The bean residue had the highest soluble fraction (a) (24.92 %), while oats had the lowest value (3.67 %). For the part insoluble in water, but potentially degradable b, it was observed that the oat residue showed the highest fraction (96.32 %) and quinoa the lowest (46.07 %). In the case of degradation rate c, all the wastes presented very low rates.

The disappearance curve of the NDF shows that the CRs differ in terms of the rate of disappearance. Up to 48 h of incubation in the first compartment of the alpaca's stomach, the NDF degradation values remained close to 45 % in the pea and broad bean residues. From this time on, the observed values continued to be higher for these residues (53.44 vs 52.31). In contrast, in the residues of oats, barley, and quinoa the degradation values (up to 72 h) were 29.69, 31.64, and 33.75 %, respectively.

The effective degradability of the NDF differed among the residues and presented a maximum amplitude of 17.01, 18.48, and 19.20 % in the passage rates of 3, 5, and 7 %/h, respectively. Harvest residues of oats, peas, and broad beans had CP contents higher than 7 %, mean NDF contents higher than 60 %, except for the bean residue (34.94 %). Barley and quinoa between 2 and 4 % of CP, and around 90 % of NDF.

The pea, oat, and broad bean residues presented potential degradability coefficients of DM higher than 75 %. The potential degradability of the PC of the residues was observed values higher than 75 %.

The values of the indigestible fraction of NDF were above 30 % for barley and quinoa residues. Barley presented values higher than 20 and 40 % of the indigestible fraction of CP and DM. Therefore, they

must be observed and considered in the adaptation of diets in alpacas.

The effective degradability of CP and NDF was similar among the residues at the passage rates of 3, 5, and 7 %/h.

Discussion

The data observed on the DM content of the barley was lower in relation to the other residues, and could also be a characteristic of the residue. The value of 88.75 % of DM of barley is close to the figure of 91.7 % obtained by Gallardo³². However, it is close to the 91.01 % DM value reported by Haile³³.

Gallardo³², obtained CP content in beans and barley of 16.3 and 3.7 %, respectively. On the other hand, the bean residue had the lowest fiber content (34.94 %) compared to the other residues (table 1), a value that agrees with the 33.3 % of NDF³², while the residue with the highest fiber content was the barley (90.19 %). Haile et al.³³, reported CP values (6.71 %) of barley higher than those found in the present study. However, they obtained 73.8 % of NDF, which is lower than our results.

The small variations that exist in the chemical composition of the different HR carried out in this study in relation to other investigations can be attributed to the differences in varieties, the proportion of botanical fractions, cultivation conditions (geographical and seasonal variations, climatic conditions, and characteristics of the soil), degree of foreign matter and impurities such as soil contamination, different measurement methods, and laboratory procedures^{34,35}.

The values of the water-soluble fraction (a) of pea and broad beans residues (table 4) were relatively high for DM. Vieira et al.³⁶ indicated values of 33, 22, and 29 % of fraction (a), for the fruit peels of three varieties of passion fruit. Considering that fraction (a) represents the portion of the forage that is readily available for the microorganisms of the first stomach of the alpaca, the barley and oat residues presented lower values (7.79 and 15.11 %, respectively) for DM.

Vieira et al.³⁶ reported degradation rates (c) that varied between 9 and 10 % / h for the ruminal degradability of the fruit peels of passion fruit varieties. For napier and cameron elephant grass hay and rice straw, Vieira et al.³⁶ indicated degradation rates of 4.0, 3.7, and 2.7 %, respectively. Pires et al.³⁷ workings with fattening straw containing 40 % moisture when evaluating the degradability of DM, verified for fractions (a), (b), and (c) values of 20.23, 60.82, and 1.95 %, respectively. These results show great variation for the values of the soluble and insoluble fractions and the rate of degradation of forages. Also, in barley, Haile et al.³³ indicated values of 15.1, 25.3, and 0.04 % for the fractions of a, b and c, respectively. The differences between the values presented in the other studies and those obtained in the present one could probably be attributed to the use of different crop varieties evaluated in the studies.

According to the NRC³⁸, the degradation rate of the potentially degradable fraction of the protein ranges from 2 to 8 %/h. Despite the variations in fractions (a) and (b), the CP degradation rates in %/h (fraction c) remained constant (3.33, 3.90, 3.07 %/h) in pea residues, oats and quinoa, similar behavior of DM,

whose fractions (c) were 1.79, 1.91 and 2.28 %/h, respectively. The fraction of PC soluble in water (a) in the pea residue presented a high value (46.79 %), comparable with the 54.0% reported by Vieira et al.³⁶. In the barley residue, the lowest fraction of the water-soluble PC was verified (table 1).

The fraction (a) of oats in the study by Vieira et al.³⁶ with fruit peels from three varieties of passion fruit was between 2 and 5 %. In the NDF, the highest value of (a) was observed for beans (24.92 %), whose NDF content (34.94 %) was lower in relation to the other residues under study (table 1). In the case of values (b), the variation was between 46.07 and 96.32 % for the residues of quinoa and oats, respectively, while Vieira et al.³⁶ reported 48 % for the peels of the red variety of passion fruit, a similar value that of quinoa in the present study. Nilsen et al.³⁹ for NDF in barley reported values of 41.6, 50.6, and 0.060 % for the fractions of a, b and c, respectively, while for quinoa they obtained values of the fractions a, b, and c of 50.2, 39.6, and 0.078 %, respectively. These differences could be attributed to differences in leaf and stem ratio, animal and diet effects, particle size, incubation characteristics, rumen conditions, and microbial contamination⁴⁰.

The potential degradability of the DM of pea was similar to that obtained by Carvalho et al.¹³ for *Penisetum purpureum* Schum silages. Although the DM degradation potentials of quinoa and oat residues differ (49.13 vs 79.26 %), until the first 12 h, the DM disappearance values in the first performance (C1) at the rumen level of the alpaca were similar (25.46 vs 28.24 %). According to the non-linear equation pro-

posed by Ørskov & McDonald¹⁶, the maximum degradation potentials of the DM of the quinoa and oat residues at 72 h of incubation were 43.12 and 63.02 %, respectively.

Rodríguez *et al.*⁴¹ suggest that the high proportion of carbohydrates in the cell wall and the lignin content of the forage are the main causes of the lower degradability. Thus, the high NDF contents of barley and quinoa, around 90 %, are probably responsible for this form of behavior in the observed degradability. The high non-degradable fraction of the CP of barley may be related to a possible higher content of nitrogen insoluble in acid detergent (NIAD), related to the higher lignin content and NDF of the barley⁴².

Vieira *et al.*³⁶ observed high values of the effective degradability of CP (68.89 to 80.83 %/h) in the peels of the fruit of passion fruit varieties, at a passage rate of 4.8 %. Sarti *et al.*⁴², on the other hand, also observed CP effective degradability values of 66.8, 58.2, and 54.3 % in *P. purpureum* Schum silages at the passage rates of 2, 5, and 8 %/h, respectively.

According to Rodríguez *et al.*⁴¹, values of 100 or of (c) less than 0.01 indicate the inadequacy of the model to the observed points or atypical responses (experimental error), giving rise to estimates of effective degradability that must be treated with due restriction. The non-degradable fraction (i) was higher for barley and quinoa residues (30.86 vs 40.20 %), showing that they have lower fiber quality.

The potential degradability of the NDF of the barley residue was higher than that of the quinoa (69.14 vs 59.80), which may be related to the high NDF contents. As in this study, Carvalho *et al.*¹³ suggest that high crystalline cellulose and lignin contents of the

cotton hull promote the slow disappearance of NDF in situ tests.

The degradation rates (fraction c) in all the residues were very low between 0.006 and 0.011 %/h (table 4). According to Carvalho *et al.*¹³, for the forages to be considered of quality, their NDF degradation rates must be between 2 and 6 %/h, so that none of the residues under study could be considered of quality. On the other hand, the potential degradabilities of NDF for pea, oat, and broad bean residues were 100 %, values that could be considered as erratic values. For the NDF content at a passage rate of 5 %, there is no similarity with the results of Vieira *et al.*³⁶ where the highest degradability was 45.83 % and the lowest was 34.61 % for the peels of the passion fruit of the mixture of equal parts of the yellow and red varieties, at a rate of passage of 4.8 %/h.

Funding source

The project was self-financed 50% by the research team and the rest by the laboratory of animal nutrition and food evaluation-LUNEA.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgments

Special thanks to the members of the Laboratory of Animal Nutrition and Food Evaluation-LUNEA and the Experimental Center of South American Camelids-Lachoc of the National University of Huanavelica, Peru.

Ethical considerations

The animal welfare requirements have been met for the execution of the said study.

Authors' contribution to the article

Contreras Paco José Luis was dedicated to carrying out the laboratory analysis and author of the study with harvest residues, *Condori Yauri Cesar Javier* carried out the collection of samples and the execution of biological tests, *Poma Yaranga Javier* carried out the collection of samples and the execution of the biological tests, *Cordero Fernández Alfonso Gregorio* contributed in the statistical data processing, *De la Cruz Rojas Yhan Carlos* prepared the preliminary drafting of the manuscript.

Cited Literature

1. Lopez S, Davies DR, Giráldez FJ, Dhanoa MS, Dijkstra J, France J. Assessment of nutritive value of cereal and legume straws based on chemical composition and *in vitro* digestibility. *J Sci Food Agric* 2005;85(9):1550-7. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.2136>
2. Abbator FI, Kibon A, Mohammed ID. Nutrient composition and rumen degradation of some feedstuffs in the semi-arid region of Nigeria. *J Sustain Agric Environ* 2002;4:158-64.
3. Cottyn BG, De Boever JL, Vanacker JM. The estimation of nutritive value of dairy cattle feed. *Archiv Tierernahr* 1990;40(10):969-80. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/17450399009428448>
4. Wilkinson JM. Silage make from tropical and temperate crops. *World Anim Rev* 1983;45: 36-40.
5. Gomide JA. Os volumosos na alimentação de vacas leiteiras. In: Mendes PA, Carlos de MJ, Pedroso de FV, editors. *Nutrição de bovinos: conceitos básicos e aplicados*. 5ed. Piracicaba, Brasil: FEALQ; 2004. p. 223-37.
6. Cabral LS, Valadares Filho SC, Zervoudakis JT, de Souza AL, Detmann E. Degradabilidade *in situ* da matéria seca, da proteína bruta e da fibra de alguns alimentos. *Pesq Agropec Bras* 2005;40(8): 777-81. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1590/S0100204X2005000800007>
7. Van Soest PJ. *Nutritional ecology of the ruminant*. 2nd ed. Ithaca, New York: Cornell University Press; 1994. 476 p.
8. Ítavo LCV, Valadares Filho SC, Silva FF, Valadares RFD, Cecon PR, Ítavo CCBF, et al. Consumo, degradabilidade ruminal e digestibilidade aparente de fenos de gramíneas do gênero *Cynodon* e rações concentradas utilizando indicadores internos. *R Bras Zootec* 2002;31(Suppl 2): 1024-32. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1590/S1516-35982002000400027>
9. Mertens DR. Predicting intake and digestibility using mathematical models of ruminal function. *J Anim Sci* 1987;64(5):1548-58. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.2527/jas1987.6451548x>
10. Van Soest PJ. Development of a comprehensive system of feeds analysis and its applications to forages. *J Anim Sci* 1967;26(1):119-28. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.2527/jas1967.261119x>
11. National Research Council. *Nutrient requirements of dairy cattle: 7th revised ed*. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press; 2001. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.17226/9825>
12. Silva TM, Araújo GGL, Oliveira RL, Dantas FR, Bagaldo AR, Menezes DR, et al. Degradabilidade ruminal e valor nutritivo da maniçoba ensilada com níveis do resíduo vitivinícola. *Arch Zootec* 2011;60(229):93-103. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.4321/S0004-05922011000100011>

13. Carvalho GGP, García R, Pires AJV, Detmann E, Gomes Pereira O, Fernandes FEP. Degradação ruminal de silagem de capim-elefante emurchecido ou com diferentes níveis de farelo de cacau. R Bras Zootec 2008;37(6):1347-54. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1590/S1516-35982008000800003>
14. Berchielli TT, García A de V, De Oliveira SG. Principales técnicas de avaliação aplicadas em estudos de nutrição. In: Berchiele TT, Pires AV, De Oliveira SG, editors. Nutrição de ruminantes. 2ed. Jabotical: FUNEP; 2011. p. 415-38
15. Aguilar Retamozo W, Lima Muñoz A. Eficiencia del nitro 34 (nitroxinil 30%) y el trisan (triclabendazol al 12%) en el control de la *Fasciola hepática* en vacunos, comunidad Antacocha-Huancavelica [tesis licenciatura]. [Huancavelica]: Universidad Nacional de Huancavelica; 2013 [citado 26 de mayo de 2020]. Recuperado a partir de: <https://repositorio.unh.edu.pe/handle/UNH/747>
16. Ørskov DR, McDonald I. The estimation of protein degradability in the rumen from incubation measurements weighted according to rate of passage. J Agr Sci 1979;92(2):499-503. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0021859600063048>
17. American Organization of Analytical Chemists International (AOAC). Official methods of analysis. 15th ed. Arlington, VA, USA: Association of Official Analytical Chemists; 1980 [Internet]. USA: [citado 26 de mayo de 2020]. Recuperado a partir de: <https://archive.org/details/gov.law.aoac.methods.1980/page/n3>
18. Daisy Incubator [Internet]. ANKOM Technology. 2011 [citado 5 de marzo de 2020]. Recuperado a partir de: <https://www.ankom.com/product-catalog/daisy-incubator>
19. Silva DJ, Queiroz AC. Análise de alimentos: métodos químicos e biológicos. 3ed. Viçosa: UFV. 2002;165 p.
20. González García UA, Corona Gochi L, Estrada Flores JG, Abarca Amesquita DK, González Ronquillo M. Digestión ruminal e intestinal del maíz (*Zea mays*) y sorgo (*Sorghum bicolor* L. Moench) utilizando diferentes técnicas de digestibilidad (in vivo, in vitro e in sacco). Trop Subtrop Agroecosystems 2007;20(2):183-94.
21. Ochoa Fernández J. Efecto de los tiempos de cosecha de la cebada (*Hodeum vulgare* L.) sobre la degradabilidad de la fibra detergente neutra y ácida en rumen de toretes [tesis licenciatura]. [Huancavelica]: Universidad Nacional de Huancavelica; 2018 [citado 26 de octubre de 2020]. Recuperado a partir de: <https://repositorio.unh.edu.pe/handle/UNH/1949>
22. Cárdenas-Villanueva LA, Bautista-Pampa JL, Zegarra-Paredes JL, Ramos-Zuniga R, Gómez-Quispe OE, Barreto-Carbajal JS. Degradabilidad in situ de la materia seca y proteína cruda de las hojas y peciolo del pisonay (*Erythrina falcata*). Rev Investig Vet Perú 2016;27(1):39-44. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.15381/rivep.v27i1.11461>
23. Rezende VM, Paiva PCA, Barcelos AF, Teixeira JC, Nogueira DA. Degradabilidade ruminal das silagens de capim-napier produzidas com diferentes níveis de farelo de "batata diversa". Ciênc Agrotec 2007;31(2):485-91. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1590/S1413-70542007000200032>
24. SAS Institute Inc. System Requirements for SAS® 9.2 Foundation for Microsoft® Windows® for x64 [Internet]. North Carolina: SAS Institute Inc; 2008 [citado 22-de octubre de 2020]. 24 p. Recuperado a partir de: <http://support.sas.com/documentation/installcenter/92/sasinstall/9.2/win/wx6/sreq.pdf>
25. Boschini C. Degradabilidad in situ de la materia seca, proteína y fibra del forraje de morera (*Morus alba*). Agron Mesoam 2001;12(1):79-87. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.15517/am.v12i1.17290>

26. Razz R, Clavero T, Vergara J. Cinética de degradación in situ de la *Leucaena leucocephala* y *Panicum maximum*. Revista Científica FCV-LUZ 2004;14(5):424-30.
27. Contreras JL, Matos MA, Felipe E, Cordero AG, Ramos Espinoza Y. Degradabilidad ruminal de forrajes y residuos de cosecha en bovinos Brown Swiss. Rev Investig Vet Perú 2019;30(3):1117-28. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.15381/rivep.v30i3.16601>
28. Campos MM, Borges ALCC, Lopes FCF, Pancoti CG, Reis SR. Degradabilidade in situ da cana-de-açúcar tratada ou não com óxido de cálcio, em novilhas leiteiras Holandês x Gir. Arq Bras Med Vet Zootec 2011;63(6):1487-92. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1590/S0102-09352011000600028>
29. Araújo SA, Maldonado VH, Coelho da Silva JF, Deminicis BB, Campos P, Lista F. Degradação ruminal e estimativa de consumo de genótipos de capim-elefante anão. R Bras Zootec 2010;39(1):18-24. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1590/S1516-35982010000100003>
30. Pires AJV, Reis RA, Carvalho GGP, Siqueira GR, Bernardes TF, Ruggieri AC, et al. Degradabilidade ruminal da matéria seca, da proteína bruta e da fração fibrosa de silagens de milho, de sorgo e de *Brachiaria brizantha*. Arq Bras Med Vet Zootec 2010;62(2):391-400. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1590/S0102-09352010000200020>
31. Gaggiotti MG, Salado EE, Gallardo MR, Arakaki LC, Valtorta SE, Castro HC. Degradabilidad *in situ* de las harinas de colza y de soya y los efectos de su suplementación sobre el ambiente ruminal de vacas lecheras con acceso a pastoreo de alfalfa. En: Instituto Nacional de Tecnología Agropecuaria, editores. XX Reunión Latinoamericana de Producción Animal 2007. Cuzco [Internet]. Perú; 2008 [citado 3 de mayo de 2020]. Recuperado a partir de: http://rafaela.inta.gov.ar/info/documentos/compendios/2007/pa_forrajes_02.htm
32. Gallardo M. Dietas balanceadas con forrajes conservados: la importancia de diagnosticar la calidad nutricional, el valor de los alimentos [Internet]. Sitio Argentino de Producción Animal. 2007 [citado 3 de agosto de 2020]. Recuperado a partir de: <https://www.produccion-animal.com.ar/>
33. Haile E, Gicheha M, Njunge FK, Asgedom G. Determining nutritive value of cereal crop residues and lentil (*Lens esculanta*) straw for ruminants. Open J Anim Sci 2017;7(1):19-29. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.4236/ojas.2017.71003>
34. Elseed AMAF, Eldaim NIN, Amasaib EO. Chemical composition and in situ dry matter degradability of stover fractions of five sorghum varieties. J Appl Sci Res 2007;3:1141-5.
35. Aghajanzadeh-Golshani A, Maheri-Sis N, Baradaran-Hasanzadeh A, Asadi-Dizaji A, Mirzaei-Aghsaghali A, Dolgari-Sharaf J. Determining nutrients degradation kinetics of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum*) straw using nylon bag technique in sheep. Open Vet J 2012;2(1):54-57.
36. Vieira CV, Vasquez HM, Silva JFC. Composição química-bromatológica e degradabilidade in situ da matéria seca, proteína bruta e fibra em detergente neutro da casca do fruto de três variedades de maracujá (*Passiflora* spp). R Bras Zootec 1999;28(5):1148-58. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1590/S1516-35981999000500034>
37. Pires AJ, Garcia R, Valadares Filho SC, Pereira OG, Cecon PR, Silva FFS, et al. Degradabilidade do bagaço de cana-de-açúcar tratado com amônia anidra e, ou, sulfeto de sódio. R Bras Zootec 2004;33(4):1071-7. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1590/S1516-35982004000400027>
38. National Research Council. Ruminant nitrogen usage. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press; 1985. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.17226/615>
39. Nilsen B, Johnston NP, Stevens N, Robinson TF. Degradation parameters of amaranth, barley and

- quinoa in alpacas fed grass hay. *J Anim Physiol Anim Nutr (Berl)* 2015;99(5):873-9. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1111/jpn.12291>
40. Ramanzin M, Bailoni L, Schiavon S. Effect of forage to concentrate ratio on comparative digestion in sheep, goats and fallow deer. *Animal Science* 1997;64(1):163-70. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1357729800015678>
41. Rodrigues ALP, Sampaio IBM, Carneiro JC, Tomich TR, Martins RGR. Degradabilidade in situ da matéria seca de forrageiras tropicais obtidas em diferentes épocas de corte. *Arq Bras Med Vet Zootec* 2004;56(5):658-64. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1590/S0102-09352004000500014>
42. Sarti LL, Jobim CC, Branco AF, Jacobs F. Degradação ruminal da matéria seca, da proteína bruta e da fração fibra de silagens de milho e de capim-elefante. *Ciênc Anim Bras* 2005;6(1):1-10.

Editor's Note:
Journal of the Selva Andina Animal Science (JSAAS) remains neutral with respect to jurisdictional claims published on maps and institutional affiliations.